IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 4306 of 2005

Between:

Smt. J.K. Chytanya, D/o. Surya Prakash Rao,
R/o. H.No. 3-14-596, Near Subash Nagar, Hanmakonda,
Warangal District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Smt. P. Amruthamma, W/o. Raghupathi,
r/o. H.No. 4-2-29/5-4-1A, Subhash Nagar, Hanmakonda,

Warangal District.
2 Sushmana Chit Fund Pvt Ltd., rep. by its Managing Director,
Sri K. Shamsundar Reddy, H.No. 1-7-492 Revenue Colony,
Hanmakonda, Warangal District.
3 Sushmana Chit Fund Pvt Ltd., rep. by its Foreman,
Smt. Sushmita Reddy, W/o. Ravinder Reddy, H.No. 1-8-150,

Balasamudram, Hanmakonda, Warangal District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to Pass appropriate order by issuing appropriate writ, order or direction writ of certiorari by calling records partains to order passed in F.A.No.340 of 2000 dt. 17.9.2002 on the file of the A.P. State Consumer Disputed Redressal Commission at Hyderabad confirming the order passed in CD No.223 of 1999 dt. 1.5.2000 on the file of the

District Consumer Forum, Warngal and quash the same in the interest of justice and pass such other order or orders.

For the Petitioner: MR. A. Ravinder, Advocate.

Counsel for the Respondent No.: None Appeared.

The Court made the following :

ORDER: (per Sri B. Sudershan Reddy, J)

The writ petition, in our considered opinion, is absolutely frivolous and speculative in its nature. We are not inclined to examine any of the points that are sought to be raised by the petitioner in this writ petition for the simple reason that the petitioner herein did not even examine herself as a witness in the District Consumer Forum (hereinafter referred to as 'the Forum'). Not even an affidavit was filed on her behalf in the Forum. Practically, the petitioner was totally indifferent to the proceedings. None of the contentions were raised before the Forum and the A.P State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission. In the circumstances, we find no bonafides on the part of the petitioner in invoking the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The writ petition lacks bonafides and the same shall accordingly stand dismissed.

(B. Sudershan Reddy, J)

09-03-2005

(C.V. Ramulu, J)

ks

To

1 The Managing Director, Sushmana Chit Fund Pvt Ltd.,
Sri K. Shamsundar Reddy, H.No. 1-7-492 Revenue Colony,
Hanmakonda, Warangal District.
2 The Foremen, Sushmana Chit Fund Pvt Ltd.,
Smt. Sushmita Reddy, W/o. Ravinder Reddy, H.No. 1-8-150,

Balasamudram, Hanmakonda, Warangal District.

3 Two CD copies.